

Morphologically conditioned tone changes in Cantonese are grammatical tones

Ping Hei Yeung py101@georgetown.edu
Georgetown University



GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY

INTRODUCTION

- pinjam* 變音 “changing tones”: morphologically conditioned tone changes (Matthews & Yip, 2013)
- Mark inflectional & derivational morphology (Alderete et al., 2022; Yu, 2007)
- I argue they should be analyzed as **grammatical tones (GT)**
- GTs are present not only in African & American tone languages (e.g., Rolle, 2018), but in East Asian languages as well!

TYPES OF GRAMMATICAL TONES

- All GTs target stems with non-H tones (tone 3-6)
- Non-H tones all alternate to high rising tone (tone 2)
- GTs are blocked in H-toned stems (tone 1-2)

1. High level	H	詩
2. High rising	MH	史
3. Mid level	M	試
4. Low falling	ML	時
5. Low rising	LM	市
6. Low level	L	是

Table 1: Autosegmental representations of Cantonese lexical tones (from Chen 2000)

- Functional morphemes in [V-func] sequences** (optional)
 - Perfective aspect (Yu, 2007)
pɔŋ^L-tsɔ^{MH} → pɔŋ^{MH} ‘weigh’ (PERF)
 - Potential mood (Yu, 2007)
kin^M-tɛk^H → kin^{MH} ‘meet’ (POTENTIAL)
 - Diminutive
 - si^M ‘try’ → si^M-jɛt^H-si^M ‘try for a bit’ → si^{MH}-si^M
 - Other [V func] constructions
ləu^{ML} ‘leave’ + hɛi^{MH} ‘at’ → ləu^{MH} ‘leave at’
- Tonal suffixes with floating H tone** (compulsory)
 - Verb nominalization (Yu, 2007)
sou^M ‘sweep (v)’ → sou^{MH} ‘broom (n)’
 - Semantic narrowing (Alderete et al., 2022)
nɔy^{LM} ‘female’ → nɔy^{MH} ‘daughter’
 - Vocative (Alderete et al., 2022)
a^M (VOC) + tsɛ^L (proper name) → a^M-tsɛ^{MH}

- Compound (Alderete et al., 2022)
maŋ^{ML} ‘blind’ + ts’hœŋ^{ML} ‘intestine’ → maŋ^{ML}-ts’hœŋ^{MH} ‘appendix’
- Diminutive (Matthews & Yip 2013)
fei^{ML} ‘fat’ → fei^{ML}-fei^{MH}-tei^{MH} ‘a bit fat’

OT ANALYSIS

Constraints:

- *COMPLEXCONTOUR: Assign a violation to every TBU that is associated with more than two tones
- *LH: Assign a violation for each sequence of LH within a TBU
- *ASSOCIATE(M): Assign a violation to every new association between a M tone and a TBU that is not present the input (modified from Yip, 2002)
- REALIZEMORPHEME: Assign a violation to every morpheme which tone is not preserved in the output (van Oostendorp, 2005)
- MAX(SEG): Assign a violation to every segment that is deleted in the output
- FUNCREDUCE: Assign a violation for each functional morpheme that is not reduced (Alderete et al., 2022)

Careful speech: *COMPLEXCONTOUR, *LH, *ASSOC(L),
REALIZEMORPHEME >> **MAX(SEG) >> FUNCREDUCE**

Rapid speech: *COMPLEXCONTOUR, *LH, *ASSOC(L),
REALIZEMORPHEME >> **FUNCREDUCE >> MAX(SEG)**

(1) L tone verb root with MH tone suffix

	/pɔŋ ^L -tsɔ ^{MH} /	*COMPLEX CONTOUR	*LH	*ASSOC(L)	REALIZE MORPHEME	MAX(SEG)	FUNC REDUCE
a. 𪛗	pɔŋ ^L -tsɔ ^{MH}						*
b. 𪛗	pɔŋ ^{MH}					*	
c.	pɔŋ ^L				*!	*	
d.	pɔŋ ^{LMH}	*!		*!		*	
e.	pɔŋ ^{LH}		*!			*	
f.	pɔŋ ^{LM}			*!		*	

(2) L tone verb root with H tone suffix

	/pɔŋ ^L -tɛk ^H /	*COMPLEX CONTOUR	*LH	*ASSOC(L)	REALIZE MORPHEME	MAX(SEG)	FUNC REDUCE
a. 𪛗	pɔŋ ^L -tɛk ^H						*
b.	pɔŋ ^H				*!	*	
c.	pɔŋ ^L				*!	*	
d.	pɔŋ ^{LH}		*!			*	
e. 𪛗	pɔŋ ^{MH}					*	

(3) L tone verb root with ML tone suffix

	/pɔŋ ^L -jyn ^{ML} /	*COMPLEX CONTOUR	*LH	*ASSOC(L)	REALIZE MORPHEME	MAX(SEG)	FUNC REDUCE
a. 𪛗	pɔŋ ^L -jyn ^{ML}						*
b.	pɔŋ ^{ML}			*!	*!	*	
c.	pɔŋ ^L				*!	*	
d.	pɔŋ ^{LML}	*!		*!		*	
e.	pɔŋ ^{LM}			*!		*	

(4) ML tone noun root with H floating tone

	/a ^M -wɔŋ ^{ML-(H)} /	*COMPLEX CONTOUR	*LH	*ASSOC(L)	REALIZE MORPHEME	MAX(SEG)	FUNC REDUCE
a.	a ^M -wɔŋ ^{ML}				*!		
b.	a ^M -wɔŋ ^H				*!		
c. 𪛗	a ^M -wɔŋ ^{MH}						
d.	a ^M -wɔŋ ^{MLH}	*!					
e.	a ^M -wɔŋ ^{LH}		*!				

CONCLUSION

Two types of GTs identified, differ in underlying representation:

- Functional morphemes in [V func] sequences: GTs are underlyingly associated with [func]. In rapid speech, H tone from [func] docks to the preceding verb root along with segmental deletion
 - Tonal suffixes with floating H tone: Floating H is the underlying representation, docks to the preceding lexical morpheme in the output
- Only H tones can be dissociated from their TBU in the input, so GTs are always H but never M or L
 - My OT analysis illustrates why all GTs in Cantonese involve the tonal alternation of lexical morphemes from non-H tone to MH tone

REFERENCES

Alderete, J., Chan, Q., & Tanaka, S. (2022). The morphology of Cantonese ‘Changed Tone’: Extensions and Limitation. *Gengo Kenkyu*, 161, 139–169. https://doi.org/10.11435/gengo.161.0_139

Chen, M. Y. (2000). *Tone Sandhi: Patterns across Chinese Dialects*. Cambridge University Press.

Matthews, S., & Yip, Y. (2013). *Cantonese: A Comprehensive Grammar*. Routledge.

Rolle, N. R. (2018). *Grammatical tone: Typology and theory* [Doctoral dissertation, University of California, Berkeley]. <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9v01c4vr>

van Oostendorp, M. (2005). Expressing Inflection Tonally. *Catalan Journal of Linguistics*, 4, 107–126. <https://doi.org/10.5565/rev/catjl.115>

Yip, M. (2002). *Tone*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139164559>

Yu, A. C. L. (2007). Understanding near mergers: The case of morphological tone in Cantonese. *Phonology*, 24(1), 187–214. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0952675707001157>