Tonal alternation of the proximal demonstrative suffix *-na* in Dagaare

Ping Hei Yeung Georgetown University ACAL54 June 13, 2023

Introduction

- Dagaare: Mabia language, Niger-Congo family, spoken in Ghana and Burkina Faso
- Register tone language with two-tone system {H, L} (Anttila & Bodomo, 1996, 2007)
- Mora is the tone bearing unit (TBU)
 - Short vowels can carry only one tone, e.g., mà 'mother'
 - Long vowels and diphthongs may carry two, e.g., tsíè 'tree'

Introduction

- Prior studies on Dagaare have reported tonal phenomena like tonal polarity, downstep, and tone alternation in nouns and verbs (Angsongna, 2021; Anttila & Bodomo, 2000)
- What about tonal phenomena that target function words?

Objective

 Carry out an Optimality Theory (OT) analysis (McCarthy & Prince, 1995; Prince & Smolensky, 2008) to examine tonal alternation of the proximal demonstrative suffix -na in Dagaare, which alternates between H tone -ná and L tone -nà.

Methodology

- Data collected from a 27-year-old native speaker of the Nandome dialect of Dagaare
- All elicitation sessions recorded at a quiet location at Georgetown University
- A corpus of phrases with the proximal demonstrative in different phonological environments was elicited
- The tones were determined with reference to the FO measurements in Praat (Boersma & Weenink, 2022)

- The demonstrative construction in Dagaare has the basic structure of [definite article prefix + noun root + demonstrative suffix]
 - E.g., [à-dóó-nà] 'This man' (It is one morphological word)
- Definite article: /à-/, L-toned
- The noun root can have various surface tone patterns, HH, LL, HL, LH, etc.
 - Underlyingly, the noun roots can end with a H tone (e.g., dóó 'man'),
 a L tone (e.g., nàà 'chief'), or no tone (e.g., bíe 'child')

 When the noun ends with a H tone, the L tone allomorph -nà is always used

à-dóó- <mark>nà</mark>	à-báá- <mark>nà</mark>
DEF-man.SG-DEM.PROX	DEF-dog.SG-DEM.PROX
'This man'	'This dog'
à-jír- <mark>nà</mark>	à-ɲä̀ä̀ɲúé- <mark>nà</mark>
DEF-house-DEM.PROX	DEF-thief.SG-DEM.PROX
'This house'	'This thief'

- For nouns that end with a L tone, the realization of -na is more variable
 - Sometimes it is realized as a H
 tone -ná, e.g., à-tíè-ná 'This tree'
 - Other times it is realized as a L
 tone -nà, e.g., à-bíè-nà 'This child'

à-tíè-ná **DEF-tree- DEM.PROX** 'This tree' à-nàà-ná DEF-chief.SG- DEM.PROX 'This chief' à-bíè-nà DEF-child.SG- DEM.PROX 'This child'

- Special case for words like bàà 'river' and dàà 'stick'
 - The roots in à-báá-nà 'This river' and à-dáá-nà 'This stick' change in tone from L to H
 - -na receives a L tone to become -nà
- Instead of alternating the tone of the demonstrative -na, the tone of the noun root is changed

à- <mark>báá</mark> -nà	à- <mark>dáá</mark> -nà
DEF-river.SG- DEM.PROX	DEF-stick.SG- DEM.PROX
'This river'	'This stick'

Phonological analysis

- The demonstrative suffix /-na/ is underlyingly toneless
 - It receives a surface tone opposite to the last tone in the noun root to satisfy the Obligatory Contour Principle (OCP).
 - E.g., à-dóó-nà 'This man' vs. à-nàà-ná 'This chief'

Phonological analysis

- What about à-tíè-ná 'This tree' and à-bíè-nà 'This child'?
 - Different alternation patterns of -na despite the noun roots having the same surface tones
- Differences in the <u>underlying</u> tone patterns of the noun root
 - tíè has an HL tone pattern while bíè has an HØ tone pattern
- Since the underlying tones differ between these two noun roots, -na alternates accordingly

Phonological analysis

- Another exception: à-nàà-ná 'This chief' and à-báá-nà 'This river'
 - The noun roots are both L-toned (nàà for chief and bàà for river), but the OCP effect is satisfied in different ways
 - The demonstrative is assigned a H tone in à-nàà-ná 'This chief'
 - The tone of the noun root is changed in à-báá-nà 'This river'
- The difference is caused by <u>lexically-associated co-phonologies</u>
 - The words nàà and bàà have different OT constraint rankings, which leads to different strategies in tonal alternation



OT constraints required to explain the patterns in the data:

*TONELESS: assign one violation mark for every TBU in the output that does not have a tone

IDENT(tone): assign one violation mark for every output tone in a TBU that <u>differs from its input correspondent</u>

OCP: assign one violation mark for every <u>adjacent identical</u> <u>tonal feature</u>



OT constraints required to explain the patterns in the data:

DEP(association): assign one violation mark for every association between an underlying tone and a TBU that is not present in the input (not applicable to inserted tones) DEP(tone): assign one violation mark for every tone in the output that does not have an input correspondent

*TONELESS >> IDENT(tone) >> OCP >> DEP(tone)
*TONELESS >> DEP(association) >> DEP(tone)

- *TONELESS is undominated because all TBUs in Dagaare must have tones
- All TBUs in Dagaare always carry either H tone or L tone, and there are no TBUs that are toneless



- IDENT(tone) dominates OCP because consecutive sequences of the same tone are allowed within a phonological word
 - E.g., à-bàà 'The river'
 - The definite article prefix à- is always realized with a L tone regardless of its phonological context, so underlyingly L-toned
 - The noun root bàà has L tone in its citation form, so underlyingly L-toned



• Since the tone of neither the prefix or the root is changed from the input to the output, maintaining the underlying tones in the output (i.e., IDENT(tone)) must be more important than avoiding consecutive sequences of the same tone (i.e., OCP)



OT Analysis

 OCP dominates DEP(tone) because underlyingly toneless TBUs receive surface tones through insertion of tones opposite to those of the adjacent TBUs



OT Analysis

- E.g., à-jír-nà 'This house'
 - -na receives a L tone because the preceding tone in jír is H
 - L tone is inserted instead of H tone to satisfy OCP
 - Since tone insertion occurs, DEP(tone) must be the lowest ranked



OT Analysis

- DEP(association): toneless TBUs do not receive surface tones through tone spreading from adjacent TBUs
- Disallows association between an underlying tone and a TBU that is not present in the input



- E.g., /bíe/ 'child' surfaces as bíè, not *bíé
- The second mora in bie is underlyingly toneless, so it must receive a surface tone to avoid violation of *TONELESS
- Instead of spreading the H tone from the first mora, DEP(association) a L tone is inserted

***TONELESS**

DEP(tone)

IDENT(tone)

OCP

- Thus, DEP(association) dominates DEP(tone), and *TONELESS dominates DEP(association)
- However, the ranking of DEP(association) in relation to IDENT(tone) and OCP is unknown

E.g., /à-báá-na/ 'This dog', which contains a H-toned noun root

- (d) loses as -*na* remains toneless on the surface
- (b) loses because it contains two consecutive H tones, violating OCP

	/à báá na/				1	
		*Tour rad	IDENT(tono)		Dep	DED(tona)
		* I ONELESS	IDENI(tone)	OCF	(association)	DEP(tone)
	LH				()	
a. 🖙	à-báá-nà				 	
					1	*
	LHL				1 	
b.	à-báá-ná				 	
				*!		*
	LHH				1 	
c.	à-báá-ná					
	$ \setminus $				*!	
	L H				- 	
d.	à-báá-na					
		*!			1	
	LH				l I	
e.	à-bàà-ná					
			*!	*	 	**
	LLH				 	

- (c) loses because the H
 tone from báá spreads to
 -na, which violates DEP
 (association)
- (e) loses because the tone of the noun root is changed from H in the input to L in the output

	/à-báá-na/ ∖ L H	*Toneless	IDENT(tone)	OCP	DEP (association)	DEP(tone)
a. 🖙	à-báá-nà \ L H L				 	*
b.	à-báá-ná \ L H H			*!	- 	*
с.	à-báá-ná ∖ / L H				*! *!	
d.	à-báá-na \ L H	*!			 	
e.	à-bàà-ná \ L L H		*!	*		**

 (a) à-báá-nà wins because it does not violate any of the higher ranked constraints

	/à-báá-na/ ∖ L H	*Toneless	IDENT(tone)	ОСР	DEP (association)	DEP(tone)
a. 🖙	à-báá-nà \ L H L					*
b.	à-báá-ná \ L H H			*!		*
с.	à-báá-ná ∖ / L H				*!	
d.	à-báá-na \ L H	*!				
e.	à-bàà-ná \ L L H		*!	*		**

- E.g., /à-nàà-na/ 'This chief', which contains a L-toned noun root
- (e) loses as -na remains toneless on the surface
- (b) loses because the tone
 of the noun root is
 changed from L in the input
 to H in the output

	/à-nàà-na/ ∖ L L	*Toneless	IDENT(tone)	OCP	DEP (association)	DEP(tone)
a. 🖙	à-nàà-ná \ L L H			*		*
b.	à-náá-nà \ L H L		*!		- 	*
с.	à-nàà-nà \ L L L			**!	1 1 1 1 1 1	*
d.	à-nàà-nà \ / L L			*	*! *!	
е.	à-nàà-na \ L L	*!		*	 	

- (c) loses because it contains three consecutive L tones, two violations of OCP
- (d) loses because the L tone from nàà spreads to -na
- (a) à-nàà-ná wins
 because it only violates
 OCP once

-			-		-	
	/à-nàà-na/ ∖ L L	*Toneless	IDENT(tone)	ОСР	DEP (association)	DEP(tone)
a. 🖙	à-nàà-ná \ L L H			*	 	*
b.	à-náá-nà \ L H L		*!		1 1 1 1 1 1	*
с.	à-nàà-nà \ L L L			**!	1 1 1 1 1 1	*
d.	à-nàà-nà \ / L L			*	' ' *! ' *!	
e.	à-nàà-na \ I I	*!		*		

- E.g., /à-bíe-na/ 'This child'. The noun root has a HØ tone pattern with the last TBU being toneless.
- (e) loses as both the noun root and -na remain toneless
- (b) loses because a H tone is inserted after a H tone

				-		
	/à-bíe-na/					
		*TONELESS	IDENT(tone)	OCP	(association)	DEP(tone)
	LH					
a. 🖙	à-bíè-nà				1	
						*
	LH L					
b.	à-bíé-ná				 	
				*!		
	LH H					
c.	à-bíè-ná					
					1	**!
	L HLH				1	
d.	à-bíé-nà					
	/				*!	
	LH L				l I	
e.	à-bíe-na					
		**!				
	LH				l	

- (d) loses because the H tone from the first mora of bíe spreads to the second mora
- (c) loses because it violates DEP(tone) twice with two different tones being inserted

	/à-bíe-na/					
		*TONELESS	IDENT(tone)	OCP	UEP	DEP(tone)
	LH					
a. 🖙	à-bíè-nà				I I I	
					1	*
	LH L				1 	
b.	à-bíé-ná				 	
				*!		
	LH H				, 	
c.	à-bíè-ná				1	
					1 1 1	**!
	L HLH				l I	
d.	à-bíé-nà				1	
	/				*!	
	LHL				l I	
e.	à-bíe-na				 	
		**!				
	LH				1	

- (a) à-bíè-nà wins because it does not violate any of the higher ranked constraints
- Although it violates
 DEP(tone), it is more
 optimal than (c) as it only
 violates the constraint once

	/à-bíe-na/ L H	*Toneless	IDENT(tone)	ОСР	DEP (association)	DEP(tone)
a. 🖙	à-bíè-nà \ L H L					*
b.	à-bíé-ná \ L H H			*!		
c.	à-bíè-ná L H LH					**!
d.	à-bíé-nà / L H L				*!	
e.	à-bíe-na L H	**!				

- E.g., /à-bàà-na/ 'This river'
- Since the tone of the noun root is changed in the output, and -na receives an opposite tone from the surface tone of the noun root, OCP must dominate IDENT(tone)

	/à-bàà-na/				_	
		*TONELESS	OCP	IDENT(tone)	DEP	DEP
	L L				(association)	
a. 🖙	à-báá-nà					
				*		**
	LHL					
b.	à-bàà-ná					
			*!			*
	LLH					
с.	à-bàà-nà					
			**!			*
	LLL					
d.	à-bàà-nà					
	\ /		*!		*	
	L L					
e.	à-bàà-na					
		*!	*			
	L L					

- Lexically-associated cophonology
- *TONELESS >> OCP >>
 IDENT(tone) >>
 DEP(tone) instead of the
 default *TONELESS >>
 IDENT(tone) >> OCP >>>
 DEP(tone)

	/à-bàà-na/ \ L L	*TONELESS	ОСР	IDENT(tone)	DEP (association)	DEP
a. 🖙	à-báá-nà \ L H L			*		**
b.	à-bàà-ná \ L L H		*!			*
с.	à-bàà-nà \ L L L		**!			*
d.	à-bàà-nà \ / L L		*!		*	
е.	à-bàà-na \ L L	*!	*			

- (e) loses as -na remains toneless on the surface
- (b), (c), and (d) all lose because they violate OCP with sequences of consecutive L tones
- (a) à-báá-nà wins because it does not violate
 *TONELESS and OCP

	/à-bàà-na/ ∖ L L	*TONELESS	OCP	IDENT(tone)	DEP (association)	DEP
a. 🖙	à-báá-nà \ L H L			*		**
b.	à-bàà-ná \ L L H		*!			*
с.	à-bàà-nà \ L L L		**!			*
d.	à-bàà-nà \ / L L		*!		*	
e.	à-bàà-na \ L L	*!	*			

Conclusion

- The proximal demonstrative suffix -na in Dagaare is underlyingly toneless
- It receives a surface tone opposite to the preceding underlying tone through tone insertion
- Generated by the OT constraint rankings of *TONELESS >> IDENT(tone) >> OCP >> DEP(tone) and *TONELESS >> DEP(association) >> DEP(tone)

Conclusion

- However, some L-toned noun roots are subject to lexicallyassociated co-phonologies
- Instead of alternating the tone of -na, the tone of the noun root itself is changed
- The rankings of OCP and IDENT(tone) are flipped in the lexically-associated co-phonologies of these noun roots
 - *TONELESS >> OCP >> IDENT(tone) >> DEP(tone)

References

- Angsongna, A. (2021). Tone alternation in Dàgáárè verbs: Perfectives and Imperfectives. Studies in African Linguistics, 50(2), Article 2. https://doi.org/10.32473/sal.v50i2.125996
- Anttila, A., & Bodomo, A. (1996). Stress and tone in Dagaare (Rutgers Optimality Archive) [Unpublished].
- Anttila, A., & Bodomo, A. (2000). Tonal Polarity in Dagaare. In V. Carstens & F. Parkinson (Eds.), Advances in African Linguistics (pp. 119–134). Africa World Press.
- Anttila, A., & Bodomo, A. (2007). OCP effects in Dagaare. 81st Annual Meeting of the Linguistic Society of America. 81st Annual Meeting of the Linguistic Society of America, Anaheim, CA.
- Boersma, P., & Weenink, D. (2022). Praat: Doing phonetics by computer (6.2.05) [Computer software]. http://www.praat.org/
- McCarthy, J., & Prince, A. (1995). Faithfulness and Reduplicative Identity. John J. McCarthy, 18.
- Prince, A., & Smolensky, P. (2008). Optimality Theory: Constraint Interaction in Generative Grammar. John Wiley & Sons.